

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/970,074	Applicant(s) JENSEN ET AL.	
	Examiner Alonzo Chambliss	Art Unit 2814	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Non final rejection

 (1) Alonzo Chambliss.

(3) _____.

 (2) Alan Koenck.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 May 2005
Time: 1:30PM
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102(b)

Claims discussed:

1, 3, 7, 8, 12, 14, and 22


Prior art documents discussed:

US 6,121,677
Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: applicant's attorney was called to suggest language to overcome the above prior art and place the instant application in condition for allowance. After discussing the details of the application with the attorney the examiner took a closer look at the reference and the above prior still read on the claims with the suggestion by the attorney and examiner. Therefore, a non final rejection is forthcoming..